

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspib.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. Hiroshi Hamasaki 204404US-2RD 09/813,827 03/22/2001 3081 EXAMINER 22850 11/05/2003 7590 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. ROSE, KIESHA L 1940 DUKE STREET ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

> 2822 DATE MAILED: 11/05/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

W.S

A	d١	/is	O	rv	A	cti	on
_	•	,,,	•	•	_	v.,	•,,,

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
09/813,827		HAMASAKI, HIROSHI		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Kiesha L. Rose	2822		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 14 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	f Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued 114.
PERIOD F	OR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expir	ng date of the final rejection. This Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no e later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Y WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a), have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s	The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any
	pellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be ent	ered because:
(a) X they raise new issues that would requir	re further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see	Note below);
(c) they are not deemed to place the appliance issues for appeal; and/or	cation in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without	canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following	g rejection(s):
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ req application in condition for allowance because	uest for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the use:
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be conside raised by the Examiner in the final rejection	red because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly n.
	ndment(s) a)□ will not be entered or b)⊠ will be entered and an aims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as f	ollows:
Claim(s) allowed: none.	
Claim(s) objected to: none.	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-22</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: nor	<u>10</u> .
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on _	is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure S	tatement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).
10. Other:	AMIR ZAFABIAN
	SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

⁶Continuation of 2. NOTE: the amendment filed 14 October 2003 including the differences between the substrate and the silicon regions these limitations would require further search and/or consideration.